

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0250355 DATE: <u>3/25/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:00 AM</u> DEPART: <u>9:55 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: U S PRECAST CORP		
FACILITY LOCATION: 8351 NW 93RD ST		
MIAMI 33166		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ED ARABIAN PHONE: (305)885-8471		
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:		
<b>ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:</b> 12/1/2007 / 12/1/2012		
(effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
DADE H. MEGENYA DEGO DEVENDINA DEGUNDENTENES. D. L. (A 40/ 414 E.). G		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Stack Emissions</li> <li>1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter</li> </ul>		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
<ol> <li>(check  papropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking area</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe d emissions?</li> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and or re-entrainment, and from building or work are</li> <li>reduction of stock pile height, or installation of particulate matter from stock piles?</li></ol>	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: eas, stock piles, and yards? dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?		
FRANK DELGADO	3/25/2010	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	3/2011	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: EUGENE SCHALTENBRAND OF BROOK EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE TWO SILOS ON SITE. I DID	KS AND ASSOCIATES PERFORMED TWO (2) VISIBLE D NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE	

THIRTY (30) MINUTES VE TEST.

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY.

THE NOTIVE OF VIOLATION ISSUED FOR FAILURE TO PERFORM ANNUAL VE TEST HAS BEEN SATISFIED.